A switching scheme between conventional and chaos-based communication systems

Renato Candido, Magno T. M. Silva, Marcio Eisencraft

Escola Politécnica - University of São Paulo

May, 2016

- 2 Equalization scheme
- 3 Switching scheme

#### 4 Simulations

5 Preliminary Conclusions

- Chaotic signals present several interesting features for communications → candidates for spread spectrum communication systems
- $\blacksquare$  Pecora and Carroll, 1990  $\rightarrow$  Chaotic Synchronization
- Many interesting ideias in the literature
- Optical communications: intrinsic nonlinear properties of lasers
  - Argyris et al., 2005: chaos based communication system (CBCS) using commercial fibre-optic link



### 1. Wu and Chua's communication system

- Simple way of using chaos for communications
- Verification of the convergence of the synchronization error is direct

Considering

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Master:} \quad \mathbf{x}(n+1) &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(n) + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{f}(x_i(n)) \\ \text{Slave:} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{x}}(n+1) &= \mathbf{A}\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(n) + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{f}(x_i(n)) \end{aligned}$$

Where:

- **x**(n) and  $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(n)$  are column vectors of size  $K \times 1$
- $\blacksquare~{\bf A}$  is a square matrix and  ${\bf b}$  is a column vector, both constant
- $\mathbf{f}(\cdot)$ :  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^K$  is generally nonlinear, depending on only one component of  $\mathbf{x}(n)$ , with the form  $\mathbf{f}(x_i(n)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T f(x_i(n)) \underbrace{0 & 0 & \cdots & 0}_{K-i \text{ zeros}}^T$

Master: 
$$\mathbf{x}(n+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(n) + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{f}(x_i(n))$$
  
Slave:  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(n+1) = \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}(n) + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{f}(x_i(n))$ 

Synchronization error:

$$\mathbf{e}(n) \triangleq \widehat{\mathbf{x}}(n) - \mathbf{x}(n)$$
$$\mathbf{e}(n+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}(n)$$

If the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of **A** satisfy  $|\lambda_i| < 1, 1 \le i \le K$ :

 $\mathbf{e}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow$  Chaotic synchronization

## 1. Wu and Chua's communication system (3)

Master: 
$$\mathbf{x}(n+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(n) + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{f}(x_i(n))$$
  
Slave:  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(n+1) = \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}(n) + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{f}(x_i(n))$ 

#### Transmitting information using Wu and Chua's system

• Encoding m(n) using the *i*-th component of  $\mathbf{x}(n)$ :

 $s(n) = c(x_i(n), m(n))$ 

We can recover

$$m(n) = c^{-1}\left(\widehat{x}_i(n), s(n)\right)$$

Transmitter: 
$$\mathbf{x}(n+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(n) + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{f}(s(n))$$
  
Receiver:  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(n+1) = \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}(n) + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{f}(s(n))$ 

### 1. Wu and Chua's communication system (4)



$$\mathbf{x}(n+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(n) + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{f}(s(n))$$
$$\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(n+1) = \mathbf{A}\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(n) + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{f}(r(n))$$

### 1. Wu and Chua's communication system (5)



- Hénon map as CSG
- Ideal channel
- $s(n) = m(n)x_1(n)$  e  $\widehat{m}(n) = r(n)/\widehat{x}_1(n)$

## 1. Wu and Chua's communication system (6)



- Channel H(z) = 0.9r(n) = 0.9s(n)
- Chaotic synchronization sensible to non-ideal channels

### 2 Equalization scheme

3 Switching scheme

#### 4 Simulations



### 2. CBCS with equalizer



- We assume there is a training sequence  $m(n) \Rightarrow$  Training mode
- After training, when transmitting an actual message,  $m(n \Delta)$  is given by the output of a decision device applied to  $\widehat{m}(n) \Rightarrow$  Decision-directed mode

### 2. Encoding function

Properties:

- If  $\gamma_1 = 0.9$  and  $\gamma_2 = 0.3$ , s(n) is chaotic (presents SDIC)
- If \(\gamma\_1 = 0\) and \(\gamma\_2 = 1\), the system is equivalent to a conventional communication system with no chaos

2

It is possible to change γ<sub>1</sub> and γ<sub>2</sub> online, considering the change is synchronized in transmitter and receiver



### 2. Equalization Algorithm

#### Objective

• Minimize: 
$$\widehat{C}(n) = e^2(n)$$

$$\bullet \ e(n) = m(n - \Delta) - \widehat{m}(n)$$

$$\widehat{m}(n) = \frac{\gamma_1(n)\widehat{x}_1(n) - \widehat{s}(n)}{\gamma_2(n)\operatorname{sign}[\gamma_1(n)\widehat{x}_1(n)]} - 1$$

Assumption:  $\widehat{x}_1(n)$  is independent of  $\mathbf{w}(n-1)$ 

### cNLMS<sub>+</sub> algorithm [Candido, Silva, Eisencraft - DINCON 2015]

$$\mathbf{w}(n) = \mathbf{w}(n-1) - \frac{\widetilde{\mu}}{\delta + \|\mathbf{r}(n)\|^2} \gamma_2(n) \operatorname{sign}[\gamma_1(n)\widehat{x}_1(n)]e(n)\mathbf{r}(n)$$

For convergence  $\rightarrow 0 < \widetilde{\mu} < 2$ 

### 2. Simulation - Equalization



AWGN channel and  $H(z) = 0.25 + z^{-1} + 0.25z^{-2}$ ; M = 21; delay  $\Delta = 11$ ; cNLMS<sub>+</sub> with  $\tilde{\mu} = 0.02$ ,  $\delta = 10^{-5}$ ;  $6 \times 10^5$  bits for convergence and  $7 \times 10^5$  bits for BER calculation; Training mode

Despite their properties, CBCSs have a worse performace in terms of BER, when compared to conventional communication systems.

### 2 Equalization scheme

#### 3 Switching scheme

#### 4 Simulations



Inspired by Wi-Fi technology, which switches modulation depending on the communication channel quality, we use

$$MSE(n_0) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=n_0}^{n_0+L-1} e^2(k),$$

with  $n_0 = 0, L, 2L, \cdots$  as a trigger, to switch between:

- $\blacksquare$  Chaos based communication system  $\Rightarrow \gamma_1 = 0.9$  and  $\gamma_2 = 0.3$
- Conventional communication system  $\Rightarrow \gamma_1 = 0$  and  $\gamma_2 = 0.4562$  (maintaining s(n) power)
- and their respective trainning and decision directed modes

# 3. Switching Scheme (2)



- A, B, and C switch between Chaos or Conventional communication system
- D switches between Training (T) or Decision-derected (DD) mode

# 3. Switching Scheme (3)



Operation modes:

- 1: Training, no chaos
- 2: Training, chaos
- 3: DD, chaos
- 4: DD, no chaos

- Switching based on 5 thresholds
- Chaos, DD can be achieved:

$$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$$
$$1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3$$

 In case of failure, Conventional DD is maintained

- 2 Equalization scheme
- 3 Switching scheme

#### 4 Simulations

5 Preliminary Conclusions

### 4. Simulations



Channels [Picchi and Prati, 1987]:

- from n=0 to  $n=1.5 \times 10^5 \Rightarrow$  low ISI channel ("easy to equalize")
- from  $n = 1.5 \times 10^5$  to  $n = 3 \times 10^5$ ⇒ higher ISI channel ("harder to equalize")
- from  $n = 3 \times 10^5$  to  $n = 4.5 \times 10^5$ ⇒ back to low ISI channel

L = 2000

Modes:

- 1: Training, no chaos
- 2: Training, chaos
- 3: DD, chaos
- 4: DD, no chaos

We assume switching is synchronized in transmitter and receiver

- 2 Equalization scheme
- 3 Switching scheme

#### 4 Simulations

5 Preliminary Conclusions

 The switching system can successfully recover a transmitted sequence, even when the channel introduces a high level of ISI;

- The switching system can successfully recover a transmitted sequence, even when the channel introduces a high level of ISI;
- The switching sequence that seems more appropriate is: Training, No chaos → DD, no chaos → DD, chaos;

- The switching system can successfully recover a transmitted sequence, even when the channel introduces a high level of ISI;
- The switching sequence that seems more appropriate is: Training, No chaos → DD, no chaos → DD, chaos;
- The thresholds that drive the switching scheme may be dependent on the channel;

- The switching system can successfully recover a transmitted sequence, even when the channel introduces a high level of ISI;
- The switching sequence that seems more appropriate is: Training, No chaos  $\rightarrow$  DD, no chaos  $\rightarrow$  DD, chaos;
- The thresholds that drive the switching scheme may be dependent on the channel;
- A BER threshold may be more interesting to use as a trigger to the switching scheme;

- The switching system can successfully recover a transmitted sequence, even when the channel introduces a high level of ISI;
- The switching sequence that seems more appropriate is: Training, No chaos  $\rightarrow$  DD, no chaos  $\rightarrow$  DD, chaos;
- The thresholds that drive the switching scheme may be dependent on the channel;
- A BER threshold may be more interesting to use as a trigger to the switching scheme;
- We may study ways to synchronize the switching between transmitter and receiver (maybe using acknowledgments).

## Thank you!

#### Renato Candido - renatocan@lps.usp.br



